



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

100		***************************************		
30720 57(115) 18 1418		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/836,865	FILING DATE 04/18/2001	Jack Lilien	38368-171364	5886
$n \land n \land v ? / ?$	X 5	ARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP	EXAMINER PONNALURI, PADMASHRI	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT 1639 DATE MAILED: 02/04/200	PAPER NUMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/836,865

Lilien et al

Office Action Summary

Restriction Purposes Only

Examiner Padmashri Ponnaluri Art Unit 1639

	1 COLLEGE DISTRICT	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	TO EVENE 1 MONTHICLEDOM
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM
- Extens	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
_	j date of this communication. period for reply specified ebove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	e statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any re	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status	patent com adjacement. Good of Grit 1770 (2).	
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 27, 20	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-final.
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-38</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Burea	ocuments have been received in this National Stage
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) [$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	l application has been received.
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	ent(s)	_
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) ∐ Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) X Other: Restriction fax cover sheet

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

1. The preliminary amendment A, filed on 7/27/01 has been fully considered and entered into the application.

2. Claims 1-38 are currently pending in this application.

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, a dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Andrew Wang, Supervisory Patent Examiner at andrew.wang@uspto.gov or 7(703)306-3217. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

Election/Restriction

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22 and 29-31, drawn to a screening method for identifying in a library of potential domains from a biological source, a polypeptide binding domain or domains that bind to target epitope or family of target epitopes, classified in class 435, subclass 5.

Art Unit: 1639

II. Claims 23-28, drawn to a method to determine the representation of expressed sequences in a PBD display sublibrary, when said PBDs are from a known protein and specific antibodies for epitopes of the known protein are available, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

- III. Claims 32-38, drawn to a method of identifying peptides participating in proteinprotein interactions by screening a first peptide library for members that interact with a second peptide display library, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions of Groups I-III are drawn to three different patentably distinct methods. These group inventions are distinct and are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01).

 Thus restriction between the groups is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Even though some of the groups are classified in the same class/subclass, this has no effect on the non-patent literature search. Different groups would require completely different searches in non-patent databases, and there is no exception that the searches would be coextensive. Therefore, these do not create an undo search burden, and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1639

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

If Group I is elected, Applicants are required to elect a single species for each of the following:

a) a single species of potential binding domains (PBDs);

b) a single species of target epitope or family of target epitope;

c) a single species of gene encoding capsid protein:

d) a single integer of 'n';

e) length of cDNA molecules;

If group II is elected, applicants are requested to elect a single species for each of the following;

a) specific antibodies;

b) biological source;

c) PBDs.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held

to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that

all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1639

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CAR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CAR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CAR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CAR 1.17(I).

Application/Control Number: 09/836,865

Art Unit: 1639

9. Applicant is required to reply to this restriction requirement within 30 days of mailing

this action. See MPEP 809.2(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to P. Ponnaluri whose telephone number is (703) 305-3884. The examiner is

on Increased Flex Schedule and can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7.00 AM

to 3.30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on (703) 306-3217. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

P. Ponnaluri

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

Art Unit 1639

30 January 2003

PADMASHRI PONNALURI PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6



RESTRICTION ELECTION FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE:				
FROM/ATTORNEY	:			
FIRM:				
PAGES, INCLUDING COVERSHEET:				
PHONE NUMBER:				
TO EXAMINER:	P. Ponnaluri			
ART UNIT:	1639			
SERIAL NUMBER: 09/836,865				
FAX/TELECOPIER NUMBER: (703) 308-4315				
PLEASE NOTE: THIS FACSIMILE NUMBER IS TO BE USED ONLY FOR RESPONSES TO RESTRICTIONS. COMMENTS:				

IF YOU HAVE NOT RECEIVED ALL THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSMISSION, PLEASE CONTACT THE ATTORNEY AT THE TELEPHONE NUMBER LISTED ABOVE.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH 1096 OG 30, THE FILING DATE ACCORDED EACH OFFICIAL FAX TRANSMISSION WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE FAX MACHINE DATE STAMP FOUND ON THE LAST PAGE OF THE TRANSMISSION, UNLESS THAT DATE IS A SATURDAY, SUNDAY, OR FEDERAL HOLIDAY WITHIN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, IN WHICH CASE THE OFFICIAL DATE OF RECEIPT WILL BE THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY.

THE DOCUMENT(S) ACCOMPANYING THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION CONTAIN(S) INFORMATION FROM THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WHICH IS CONFIDENTIAL AND/OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. THIS INFORMATION IS FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR FIRM NAMED ON THIS SHEET. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISCLOSURE, COPYING, DISTRIBUTION, OR THE TAKING OF ANY ACTION IN RELIANCE ON THE CONTENTS OF THIS INFORMATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. THE DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE RETURNED TO THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE IMMEDIATELY. IF THIS FACSIMILE IS RECEIVED IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY THE ATTORNEY LISTED HEREON IMMEDIATELY.